



Texas Department of Insurance

Division of Workers' Comp

Medical Fee Dispute Resolution, MS-48

7551 Metro Center Drive, Suite 100 • Austin, Texas 78744-1645

518-804-4000 telephone • 512-804-4811 fax • www.tdi.texas.gov

MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

GENERAL INFORMATION

Requestor Name and Address

DOCTORS HOSPITAL AT RENAISSANCE
5501 SOUTH MCCOLL
EDINBURG TX 78539

Respondent Name

TEXAS SCHOOLS PROPERTY & CASUALTY

Carrier's Austin Representative Box

Box Number 47

MFDR Tracking Number

M4-11-1449-01

REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Requestor's Position Summary: "chapter 134.404 TAC"

Amount in Dispute: \$4,508.32

RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

Respondent's Position Summary: The respondent did not submit a response to this dispute.

Response Submitted by: N/A

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
June 11, 2010 through June 12, 2010	Inpatient Hospital Surgical Services	\$4,508.32	\$4,508.28

FINDINGS AND DECISION

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and all applicable, adopted rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

Background

1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving a medical fee dispute.
2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the guidelines for reimbursement of hospital facility fees for inpatient services.
3. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(e) states that: "Except as provided in subsection (h) of this section, regardless of billed amount, reimbursement shall be:
 - (1) the amount for the service that is included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011; or
 - (2) if no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, the maximum allowable

reimbursement (MAR) amount under subsection (f) of this section, including any applicable outlier payment amounts and reimbursement for implantables.”

- (3) If no contracted fee schedule exists that complies with Labor Code §413.011, and an amount cannot be determined by application of the formula to calculate the MAR as outlined in subsection (f) of this section, reimbursement shall be determined in accordance with §134.1 of this title (relating to Medical Reimbursement).
4. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f) states that “The reimbursement calculation used for establishing the MAR shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register. The following minimal modifications shall be applied.
 - (1) The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by:
 - (A) 143 percent; unless
 - (B) a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement in accordance with subsection (g) of this section, in which case the facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 108 percent.”
5. The services in dispute were reduced/denied by the respondent with the following reason codes:

Explanation of benefits dated August 19, 2010

 - W1 – WORKERS COMPENSATION STATE FEE SCHEDULE ADJUSTMENT.
 - 197 – PAYMENT DENIED/REDUCED FOR ABSENCE OF PRECERTIFICATION/AUTHORIZATION.

Explanation of benefits dated September 16, 2010

 - W3 –ADDITIONAL PAYMENT MADE ON APPEAL/RECONSIDERATON.

Explanation of benefits dated November 18, 2010

 - 193 –ORIGINAL PAYMENT DECISION IS BEING MAINTAINED. THIS CLAIM WAS PROCESSED PROPERLY THE FIRST TIME.
 - 1014 –THE ATTACHED BILLING HAS BEEN RE-EVALUATED AT THE REQUEST OF THE PROVIDER. BASED ON THIS RE-EVALUATION, WE FIND OUR ORIGINAL REVIEW TO BE CORRECT. THEREFORE, NO ADDITIONAL ALLOWANCE APPEARS TO BE WARRANTED.

Issues

1. Did the requestor obtain preauthorization approval prior to providing the health care in dispute in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.600?
2. Were the disputed services subject to a specific fee schedule set in a contract between the parties that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011?
3. Can the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f)?
4. Did the facility or a surgical implant provider request separate reimbursement for implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g)?
5. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement for the disputed services?

Findings

1. The respondent denied reimbursement for the disputed services based upon “197-PAYMENT DENIED/REDUCED FOR ABSENCE OF PRECERTIFICATION/AUTHORIZATION”. The Division finds that on the reconsideration EOBs, the respondent did not maintain this denial reason; therefore, a preauthorization issue does not exist and the disputed services will be reviewed in accordance with applicable Division rules and fee guidelines
2. No documentation was found to support a contractual agreement between the parties to this dispute. Therefore, the Division concludes that the disputed services are not included in a specific fee schedule set in a contract that complies with the requirements of Labor Code §413.011.
3. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) amount for the disputed services can be determined according to 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f).
4. Review of the submitted documentation finds no request for separate reimbursement of implantables in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g).

5. Reimbursement for the disputed services is calculated in accordance with 28 TAC §134.404(f)(1)(A) as follows:

The Medicare facility-specific reimbursement amount including outlier payment amount for DRG 494 is \$10,484.38.

This amount multiplied by 143% is \$14,992.66

The total maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) is \$14,992.66.

This amount less the amount previously paid by the respondent of \$10,484.38 leaves an amount due to the requestor of \$4,508.28.

The Division concludes that the requestor is entitled to \$4,508.28 additional reimbursement.

Conclusion

For the reasons stated above, the division finds that the requestor has established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$4,508.28.

ORDER

Based upon the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code Sections 413.031 and 413.019 (if applicable), the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to additional reimbursement for the services involved in this dispute. The Division hereby ORDERS the respondent to remit to the requestor the amount of \$4,508.28 plus applicable accrued interest per 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.130, due within 30 days of receipt of this Order.

Authorized Signature

<hr/>	<hr/>	<hr/>
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	September 15, 2011 Date

YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST AN APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to request an appeal. A request for hearing must be in writing and it must be received by the DWC Chief Clerk of Proceedings within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. A request for hearing should be sent to: Chief Clerk of Proceedings, Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers Compensation, P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744. **Please include a copy of the Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with other required information specified in Division rule at 28 Texas Administrative Code §148.3(c).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.